



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/663,665	09/15/2000	Gregory L. Slaughter	5181-47300	2188	
7	7590 05/16/2005			EXAMINER	
Robert C Kowert			ZHEN, LI B		
Conley Rose & Tayon P C					
P O Box 398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Austin, TX 78767-0398			2194		
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/663,665	SLAUGHTER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	, Art Unit
•	Li B. Zhen	2194
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, m ly within the statutory minimum o will apply and will expire SIX (6) e, cause the application to becor	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 № 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the second secon	s action is non-final. nce except for formal i	-
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-53 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	wn from consideration or election requirement	
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2000 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.</li> </ul>	are: a) ☐ accepted or drawing(s) be held in ab tion is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received ts have been received nity documents have b u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/05:8/6/01:8/13/01	Paper 5) 🔲 Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) :

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 2194

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1 – 53 are pending in the current application.

### **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: fig. 21. Examiner was unable to locate a description of figure 21 in the specification as filed. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Art Unit: 2194

4. Claims 1 – 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they are directed to non-statutory subject matter.

- 5. Claims 1 21 are directed to method steps which can be practiced mentally in conjunction with pen and paper, therefore they are directed to non-statutory subject matter. Specifically, as claimed, it is uncertain what performs each of the claimed method steps. Moreover, each of the claimed steps, inter alia, generating, deleting, providing, determining, and storing, can be practiced mentally in conjunctions with pen and paper. The claimed steps do not define a machine or computer implemented process [see MPEP 2106]. Therefore, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. (The examiner suggests applicant to change "method" to "computer implemented methods" in the preamble to overcome the outstanding 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection).
- 6. Claims 43 53 are non-statutory because it is not tangibly embodied.
- 7. Claims 43 53 recites "a carrier medium" (line 1) and the specification discloses the carrier medium as including transmission signals conveyed via a wireless link (p. 184, lines 17 23). Transmission signals are incapable of being touched or perceived absent the tangible medium through which they are conveyed; therefore, claims 43 55 are non-statutory.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2194

8. Claim 53 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 101, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 1, 2, 6 9, 22, 23, 27, 30, 31 and 43 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0035645 to Tuatini in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,321,841 to East et al. [hereinafter referred to as East].
- 12. As to claim 1, Tuatini teaches the invention substantially as claim including a method for the exchange of objects in a distributed computing environment [p. 3, paragraph (0047)], comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/663,665

Art Unit: 2194

user accessing a client device [application framework receives requests for services from client computers(e.g., customer computers); p. 2, paragraph (0043)]; and generating a computer programming language object [serialization service in one embodiment provides a generic mechanism for converting XML data into a Java object and vice versa; p. 10, paragraphs (0079) - (0082)] from a data representation language representation [XML data; p. 10, paragraph (0079)] of the object [convert XML data to Java object and vice verso; p. 10, paragraph (0079)], wherein the object is an instance of a class in the computer programming language [Java object; p. 10, paragraph (0079)], and wherein the object is accessible for use during the accessing the client device [serialization service deserializes the configuration file into a Java object that is

13. Although Tuatini teaches the invention substantially as claimed, Tuatini does not teach deleting the computer programming language object in response to the terminating access.

used by the application components; p. 11, paragraph (0086)].

However, East teaches a distributed object system with an authentication protocol [access control list 472 may optionally be provided if use of the new object type is to be restricted to a defined set of authorized users; col. 16, line 66 - col. 17, line 2] and deleting a computer programming language object in response to the terminating access so that the deleted object is not accessible by subsequent users of the client device [Shutdown field 428 points to a shutdown procedure 438. The shutdown procedure 438 is called once when an object type is permanently removed from the

Art Unit: 2194

system, generally at system shutdown time. The purpose of this routine is to perform object type-specific shutdown operations; col. 15, lines 60 – 68].

- 14. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to apply the teaching of deleting the computer programming language object in response to the terminating access as taught by East to the invention of Tuatini because this would prevent unauthorized access to the object and deallocates the storage for the object after the user has finished accessing the object [col. 15, lines 49 60 of East].
- 15. As to claim 2, Tuatini as modified teaches receiving a message in the data representation language from a service device in the distributed computing environment prior to the generating a computer programming language object [p. 10, paragraph (0083) of Tuatini], wherein the message includes the data representation language representation of the object [p. 10, paragraph (0079) of Tuatini].
- 16. As to claim 6, Tuatini as modified teaches generating a computer programming language object from a data representation language representation of the object is performed by a virtual machine executing within the client device [p. 10, paragraph (0079) of Tuatini; examiner notes that a virtual machine is inherent to the Java environment].

Art Unit: 2194

17. As to claim 7, Tuatini as modified teaches generating a plurality of computer programming language objects from data representation language representations of the objects [converting XML data into a Java object and vice versa; p. 10, paragraphs (0079) - (0082) of Tuatini], and deleting the plurality of computer programming language objects in response to the terminating access [col. 15, lines 60 – 68 of East].

- 18. As to claim 8, Tuatini as modified teaches the data representation language is extensible Markup Language (XML) [XML data; p. 10, paragraphs (0079) (0082) of Tuatini].
- 19. As to claim 9, Tuatini as modified teaches the computer programming language is the Java programming language [Java objects; p. 10, paragraphs (0079) (0082) of Tuatini].
- 20. As to claims 22 and 23, these are apparatus claims that correspond to method claims 1 and 2; note the rejections to claims 1 and 2 above, which also meet these apparatus claims.
- 21. As to claim 27, this is rejected for the same reason as claim 7 above.
- 22. As to claims 30 and 31, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 8 and 9 above.

Art Unit: 2194

23. As to claims 43 and 44, these are product claims that correspond to method claims 1 and 2; note the rejections to claims 1 and 2 above, which also meet this product claim.

- 24. As to claim 45, this is rejected for the same reason as claim 7 above.
- 25. As to claim 46, this is rejected for the same reasons as claims 8 and 9 above.
- 26. Claims 3 5, 10 21, 24 26, 28, 29, 32 42 and 47 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tuatini and East in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,774,551 to Wu [cited in previous office action].
- 27. As to claim 3, Tuatini as modified does not teach accessing a client device by coupling an identification device to the client and terminating access by decoupling the identification device from the client device.

However, Wu teaches accessing a client device comprises the user coupling an identification device to the client device [authentication services 109 may include password or encrypted key based mechanisms such as...hardware/firmware based mechanisms, such as smart-card; col. 15, lines 54 – 65], wherein the identification device provides identification information of the user to the client device [the encrypted authentication tokens may be stored in a smart card, or other non-public storage facility;

Art Unit: 2194

col. 10, lines 37 – 65], and wherein the termination the accessing comprises decoupling the identification device from the client device [system entry service 107 initiates a disconnect process, and handles the necessary physical disconnection and protocols for disconnecting from the system 100, Fig. 5; col. 19, line 57 – col. 20, line 9].

- 28. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to apply the teaching of accessing a client device by coupling an identification device to the client and terminating access by decoupling the identification device from the client device as taught by Wu to the invention of Tuatini as modified because this allows any system entry service to be used transparently with any combination of account, password, session, or authentication services, including multiple instances of a given type of account management service and provides supports for unified login and logout with multiple authentication services [col. 6, lines 15 26 of Wu].
- 29. As to claim 4, Tuatini as modified teaches the identification device is a smart card [the encrypted authentication tokens may be stored in a smart card, or other non-public storage facility; col. 10, lines 37 65 of Wu].
- 30. As to claim 5, Tuatini as modified teaches the accessing a client device comprises the user logging on to the client device [Unified login is accomplished through a authentication token mapping process; col. 3, lines 55 67 of Wu] by providing user identification to the client device [the encrypted authentication tokens

Application/Control Number: 09/663,665

Art Unit: 2194

may be stored in a smart card, or other non-public storage facility; col. 10, lines 37 – 65 of Wu], and wherein the terminating the accessing comprises the user logging off the client device [user logouts 501 of the system entry service 107, either explicitly by invoking a specific method of the system entry service 107, or implicitly by shutting off the workstation or terminal the user is working on; col. 19, line 57 – col. 20, line 9 of Wu].

31. As to claim 10, Tuatini as modified teaches a method for the secure exchange of objects in a distributed computing environment [p. 3, paragraph (0047) of Tuatini], comprising:

a user accessing a client device [p. 2, paragraph (0043) of Tuatini];

the client device receiving a message in a data representation language from a service device in the distributed computing environment [p. 10, paragraph (0083) of Tuatini], wherein the message includes a data representation language representation of an object [p. 10, paragraph (0079) of Tuatini];

if the determining, determines the user has access rights to the computer programming language object [Only users 944 who have this special identifier in their ID list 946 are allowed to generate new privileged operation objects; col. 27, lines 25 – 41 of East], generating the object [serialization service in one embodiment provides a generic mechanism for converting XML data into a Java object and vice versa; p. 10, paragraphs (0079) - (0082) of Tuatini] from the data representation language representation [XML data; p. 10, paragraph (0079) of Tuatini] of the object [convert XML

data to Java object and vice verso; p. 10, paragraph (0079) of Tuatini], wherein the object is an instance of a class in the computer programming language [Java object; p. 10, paragraph (0079) of Tuatini], and wherein the object is accessible for use during the accessing the client device [serialization service deserializes the configuration file into a Java object that is used by the application components; p. 11, paragraph (0086) of Tuatini]; and

if the determining determines the user does not have access rights to the computer programming language object, not generating the object [ACL mechanism for Privileged Operation Object OTD prevents the proliferation of privileged operation objects that might otherwise be created by unauthorized users; col. 27, lines 25 – 41 of East].

- 32. As to claim 11, Tuatini as modified teaches the message further includes access information for the computer programming language object, wherein the determining if the user has access rights to the computer programming language object uses the access information [Whenever a program references an object by its object ID, the access rights of the user are compared with the access control information in the object; col. 22, line 65 col. 23, line 5 of East].
- 33. As to claim 12, Tuatini as modified teaches deleting the computer programming language object in response to the user terminating access to the client device, wherein

Art Unit: 2194

the deleted object is not accessible for use by subsequent users of the client device [col. 15, lines 60 – 68 of East].

- 34. As to claims 13 15, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 3 5 above.
- 35. As to claim 16, Tuatini as modified teaches the user terminating the accessing the client device and storing the computer programming language object in response to the terminating access [When the action handler completes performance of its business logic, it stores 609 the response message in the action response object and stores 610 the view in the action response object; p. 3-4, paragraph (0051) of Tuatini].
- 36. As to claim 17, Tuatini as modified teaches the user accessing the client device subsequent to the storing the object and accessing the stored object during the accessing the client device [p. 2, paragraph (0043) of Tuatini].
- 37. As to claim 18, Tuatini as modified teaches storing access rights information of the user with the object, wherein the accessing the stored object comprises verifying the access rights of the user with the stored access rights information [Whenever a program references an object by its object ID, the access rights of the user are compared with the access control information in the object; col. 22, line 65 col. 23, line 5 of East].

Art Unit: 2194

38. As to claims 19 – 21, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 6, 8 and 9 above.

- 39. As to claims 24 and 25, these are apparatus claims that correspond to method claims 3 and 4; note the rejections to claims 3 and 4 above, which also meet these apparatus claims.
- 40. As to claim 26, Tuatini as modified teaches the device is further configured to accept user input [col. 3, lines 50 68 of East] to initiate the terminating the user access [unified logout process ensures that the user's authentication token and credentials are removed; col. 19, line 57 col. 20, line 8 of Wu].
- 41. As to claim 28, Tuatini as modified teaches a processor [computer system; p. 2, paragraph (0043) of Tuatini], a memory [col. 3, lines 52 68 of East], and a virtual machine executed by the processor from the memory, wherein the generating is performed by the virtual machine [p. 10, paragraph (0079) of Tuatini; examiner notes that a virtual machine is inherent to the Java environment].
- 42. As to claim 29, Tuatini as modified teaches the accepting, the terminating, and the deleting are performed by the virtual machine [p. 10, paragraph (0079) of Tuatini], wherein the object is stored in the memory subsequent to the generating, and wherein, in the deleting, the object is deleted from the memory [col. 15, lines 60 68 of East].

Art Unit: 2194

43. As to claims 32 - 36, these are system claims that correspond to method claims 10 - 14; note the rejections to claims 10 - 14 above, which also meet these system claims.

- 44. As to claim 37, Tuatini as modified teaches a memory [col. 3, lines 52 68 of East], accept user input [col. 3, lines 50 68 of East] to terminate the access of the client device [col. 19, line 57 col. 20, line 8 of Wu], and store the computer programming language object to the memory in response to the terminating access [p. 3 4, paragraph (0051) of Tuatini].
- 45. As to claims 38 40, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 17, 18 and 28 above.
- 46. As to claims 41 and 42, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 8 and 9 above.
- 47. As to claims 47 50, these are product claims that correspond to method claims 10 13; note the rejections to claims 10 13 above, which also meet these product claims.

Art Unit: 2194

48. As to claims 51 and 52, these are rejected for the same reasons as claims 16 -

18 above.

Conclusion

49. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Li B. Zhen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3768.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Li B. Zhen Examiner

Art Unit 2194

lbz .

MENG-AL T. AN
RVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100